Attorneys General of New York, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Minnesota (by and through its Minnesota Pollution Control Agency), New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and the District of Columbia, the County Attorney of Broward (FL), and the City Attorneys/Corporation Counsel of Boulder (CO), Chicago, Los Angeles, New York, Oakland (CA), Philadelphia, San Francisco, and South Miami

December 11, 2018

Via Electronic Mail and First Class Mail Andrew K. Wheeler Acting Administrator Environmental Protection Agency Office of the Administrator Code 1101A 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20460

Re: Fourth National Climate Assessment and Proposed Rules Weakening Greenhouse Gas Emission Standards for Motor Vehicles and Power Plants

Dear Acting Administrator Wheeler:

The undersigned State Attorneys General and Local Government Attorneys (together "States and Cities") respectfully submit this letter concerning the recent national climate assessment report issued by the Environmental Protection Agency and twelve other U.S. government agencies.¹ The Assessment provides a thorough evaluation of the harmful impacts of climate change that different regions of the country are experiencing and the projected risks climate change poses to our health, environment, economy and national security.

Although the Assessment credits emission reduction strategies the States and Cities and others have already put into action, it concludes that current efforts "do not yet approach the scale considered necessary to avoid substantial damages to the economy, environment, and human health over the coming decades." *Assessment*, ch. 29. The sobering findings of the Assessment should serve as a call to action to EPA and other federal agencies to take prompt measures to require reductions in greenhouse gases. Yet EPA is proposing to move our nation backwards by rolling back current regulations that require greenhouse gas emission reductions from the transportation and electricity generation sectors, the two largest sources of those emissions in the United States. The combined effect of these two rollbacks would harm Americans by making climate change worse: Conservatively, based on EPA's own figures, the

¹ See U.S. Global Change Research Program, "Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II," (D.R. Reidmiller et al. eds., 2018), <u>https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/</u> ("Assessment").

vehicle emissions rollback would result in increased emissions of 540 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent just from model year 2022-25 motor vehicles (*i.e.*, not even counting the 2021 and 2026 model years),² and the rollback of the Clean Power Plan would cause an increase of up to 55 million metric tons (61 million short tons) of carbon dioxide equivalent in 2030. 83 Fed. Reg. 44,746, 44,784, tbl. 6 (Aug. 31, 2018). Added together, the emissions increases for those years alone would equal the annual emissions of 147 coal-fired power plants or 127 million gasoline-powered cars.

In light of the Assessment, we renew our request that you immediately withdraw the proposals to weaken the motor vehicle and power plant greenhouse gas emission standards. At a minimum, EPA should reopen the comment periods for each of the rollback proposals to allow for public input on and adequate consideration of the bearing of the Assessment's findings on both proposals.³

With respect to the numerous climate change harms documented in the Assessment, two are particularly important to highlight. Regarding human health, the Assessment states that "[i]mpacts from climate change on extreme weather and climate-related events, air quality, and the transmission of disease through insects and pests, food, and water increasingly threaten the health and well-being of the American people, particularly populations that are already vulnerable." *Assessment, Summary Findings*, ch. 6. Similarly, regarding infrastructure, the Assessment notes that "[o]ur aging and deteriorating infrastructure is further stressed by increases in heavy precipitation events, coastal flooding, wildfires, and other extreme events, as well as changes to average precipitation and temperature." *Id.*, ch. 10.

Moreover, the Assessment makes clear that we need to act <u>now</u> to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. It cautions that "[i]n the absence of significant global mitigation action and regional adaptation efforts, rising temperatures, sea level rise, and changes in extreme events are expected to increasingly disrupt and damage critical infrastructure and property, labor productivity, and the vitality of our communities." *Assessment, Summary Findings*, ch. 2. Furthermore, "[b]y the end of this century, thousands of American lives could be saved and hundreds of billions of dollars in health-related economic benefits gained each year under a pathway of lower greenhouse gas emissions."⁴

² U.S. EPA, Final Determination on the Appropriateness of the Model Year 2022-2025 Light-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards Under the Midterm Evaluation (Jan. 2017), at 6.

³ Because we cannot assume that EPA will grant our request to withdraw the proposals or at least reopen the public comment period, the States and Cities intend to submit the Assessment to the dockets of the two rulemakings shortly, along with letters discussing how the Assessment supports our legal and policy concerns previously expressed in our rulemaking comments.

⁴ U.S. Global Change Research Program, "Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume II: Report-in-Brief," 102 (D.R. Reidmiller et al. eds., 2018), <u>https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/downloads/NCA4_Report-in-Brief.pdf</u> (*"Report-in-Brief"*).

EPA and its sister agencies cannot ignore or downplay their own Assessment. The Assessment represents the federal government's authoritative analysis of climate science and the impacts of climate change on the United States. *See* Global Change Research Act of 1990, Pub. L. No. 101-606. It represents the work of more than 300 governmental and non-governmental experts, was externally peer-reviewed by a committee of the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine, and underwent several rounds of technical and policy review by the thirteen federal member agencies of the U.S. Global Change Research Program. *Report-in-Brief* at 1–2. EPA and other federal agencies must give full weight to the scientific facts and findings presented in the Assessment, and consider the implications of the Assessment for its proposed actions.

Many of the States and Cities have already filed extensive comments objecting to the proposals to weaken the motor vehicle and power plant greenhouse gas emission standards and calling for their withdrawal.⁵ We today renew our call for their withdrawal in light of the overwhelming evidence the Assessment presents of the need for prompt, meaningful action by the federal government to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Sincerely,

Barban D. Undud

BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD Attorney General of New York

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General for California

⁵ See, e.g., Comments of California Attorney General, et al. on the Proposed Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021-26 Passenger Cars and Light Duty Trucks (Oct. 26, 2018), *available at*:

https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=NHTSA-2018-0067-11735; Comments of New York Attorney General, et al. on EPA Proposed Rule, Emission Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Existing Electric Utility Generating Units; Emission Guideline Implementing Regulations; New Source Review Program (Oct. 31, 2018), *available at*: https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OAR-2017-0355-24817.



George Jepsen Attorney General of Connecticut

Matthew Denn Attorney General of Delaware

usulch. An

Russell Suzuki Attorney General of Hawaii

Lisa Madigan / Attorney General of Illinois

Th. 00

Tom Miller Attorney General of Iowa

milles

Janet T. Mills Attorney General of Maine

Brian Frosh Attorney General of Maryland

Maura Healey Attorney General of Massachusetts

Lori Swanson Attorney General of Minnesota for the State of Minnesota, by and through its Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

Gurbir S. Grewal

Attorney General of New Jersey

Hector Balderas Attorney General of New Mexico

Josh Stein Attorney General of North Carolina

Orin

Éllen F. Rosenbaum Attorney General of Oregon

Josh Shapiro Attorney General of Pennsylvania

T.J. Donovan Attorney General of Vermont

Nave R. Her

Mark Herring Attorney General of Virginia

Bob Ferguson () Attorney General of Washington

Karl A. Racine Attorney General for the District of Columbia

Mark Journey

Sr. Assistant County Attorney, Broward County, Florida

Thomas A. Carr City Attorney, Boulder, Colorado

Edward N. Siskel City Attorney, Chicago, Illinois

Michael N. Feuer Los Angeles City Attorney

Zachary W. Carter New York City Corporation Counsel

Barbara J. Parker City Attorney, Oakland, California

/s/

Marcel S. Pratt City Solicitor, City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Dennis J Herrera City Attorney, San Francisco, California

Thomas F. Pepe City Attorney, South Miami, Florida